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Abstract

This paper is divided in eight sections: In the first section I examine the problem and motivation for this design pattern. In the second section I explain the pattern in a basic “hello world” manner. Then in the third section I integrate the Task Pattern with the Gang of Four Command, Command Holder and Mediator patterns. In the fourth section I explain how to use the Task Pattern with a worker thread. (The worker thread approach is recommended for production systems.) In the next section the paper explores implementing the pattern with aspects. In the sixth section I present a quick case study of the use of the Task Pattern. In the last two sections the paper does a summary and conclusion and cites references.

1. Problem

Sometimes it is necessary that tasks that take longer than a few seconds—like opening a large file, establishing a network connection, performing a long calculation, etc.—provide feedback to the user and the system [Johnson 2000]. This problem can be solved in many ways, but the Task Pattern presented in this paper provides a proven solution for creating and monitoring long running tasks. With monitor-able tasks the user or the system can know how long a computer task is going to take and then take decisions accordingly.

2. Basic Task Pattern

Idea: The basic Task Pattern involves creating an abstract class, AbstractTask, (or its equivalent in languages other than Java) that has methods to set and get the size of the task, the current progress of the task and the current message. Then every task extends AbstractTask (ActualTask) and overrides the run method, which is the method that other objects will call to start the task. Then ActualTask informs its parent of the size, progress, and other messages. (See Figure 1 for details.)
Any other object on another thread can monitor the task by calling the get methods for size, progress, and message of the task. That other object has to be on another thread because otherwise the client will not be able to call getProgress and getMessage until run finishes. When AnyOtherObjectClient executes runLongOperationInSeparateThread it executes run in both LongTask and AnotherLongTask. Then AnyOtherObjectClient can monitor the status of LongTask and AnotherLongTask by calling the getProgress and getMessage of AbstractTask.
Of course, this implementation is inefficient because the client of the task has to constantly monitor the task. It is much better if the task tells its clients when it has changed. That can be achieved by using the Gang of Four Command, Command Holder and Mediator patterns [Gamma, et al 1995].

3. Advanced Task Pattern

As mentioned in the previous section, it is better to use the Task Pattern in combination with the Command, Command Holder, and Mediator patterns [Gamma, et al 2002]. The Command pattern requires creating small “command” objects that derive from a Command interface. These small objects perform short actions. I will go over the Command Holder pattern later. The Mediator pattern reduces coupling by keeping track of different objects and establishing how one object should react to an event of another without these objects knowing about each other.

Idea: Create an abstract class (AbstractTask) that all tasks will extend. AbstractTask registers listeners that want to monitor the task. Every time a new event is fired in AbstractTask, AbstractTask passes the right command to all its listeners. Create a Mediator class to be the main listener for the events of the abstract task. The Mediator will then do what the Command object passed by AbstractTask tells it to do.

![UML class Diagram for Advanced Task Pattern Example](image-url)
The pattern in this case will work as follows: First the Mediator executes `initLongTask` where it will set up the `LongTask` with `SuccessCommand`, `FailCommand`, and `ProgressCommand`, and then it will run the task (See Figure 4). It is important to notice that the implementations of `execute` in `SuccessCommand`, `FailCommand`, and `ProgressCommand` will call the methods `succeedLongTask`, `failLongTask`, and `showProgress` respectively in Mediator (See Figure 5 for an example of `SuccessCommand`). This means that we can dynamically specify in `AbstractTask` how the monitoring is done.

![Sequence Diagram for initLongTask() in Mediator](image1)

**Figure 4: Sequence Diagram for initLongTask() in Mediator**

The logic for when the Command gets called is as follows: every time `LongTask` wants to communicate progress it calls the `execute` method of the Command object returned from calling its parent method `getProgressCommand`. Remember that this Command was set dynamically in the initialization method `initLongTask` in Mediator. When run is done in `LongTask` it either calls `fail` or `succeed` from its parent. The method `fail` will call the `execute` method of the return Command of `getFailCommand` and the `succeed` method will do the same for the return of `getSucceedCommand`.

![Sequence Diagram for execute() in ProgressCommand](image2)

**Figure 5: Sequence Diagram for execute() in ProgressCommand**
4. Multithreaded Task Pattern

The Task Pattern works best in a multithreaded environment. I picked the Worker Thread pattern [Lea 1999] because it has the most simple implementation. Yet, the Task Pattern can be used in other multithreaded paradigms. For examples of multithreading issues and paradigms check [Magee, Kramer 1999].

Figure 6: UML class diagram for multithreaded Task Pattern
Idea: Create an AbstractTask similar to the one described in Section 3. The task uses Commands and Mediators to keep track of the initialization, progress, and termination of the task. Everything until now is similar to Section 3. The difference is that instead of the Mediator calling run, the Mediator will initialize a worker thread that will run the task. This will allow the main thread of execution to maintain control of the application, so the user can use other features of the application while the task is running. What we do is create a TaskWorker that will use a Thread Singleton [Gamma, et al 1995] to run the contents of the task object. What the mediator does when it wants to run the task is create a new TaskWorker with the task that it wants to run. Then it calls start of TaskWorker and the task runs on a worker thread and communicates with the main thread thru an Observer [Gamma, et al 1995] implemented in the form of the Java event handling mechanism [Horstmann, Cornell 2002].

Figure 7: Sequence Diagram for task initialization and to run the task

5. Aspect oriented implementation

Aspect oriented programming presents a new paradigm into software design. Aspects allow the developer to interleave behavior across classes [Kiczales 1996]. The great advantage of aspects is that by using them we can introduce new behavior to classes without changing the classes and without the classes even knowing that the thread of execution will be different. A good source in practical aspect programming is [Laddad 2003].

There has been research in implementing object oriented design patterns with aspects. For example [Hannemann, Kiczales 2002] implemented all of the Gang of Four design patterns [Gamma, et al 1995] with aspects and analyzed performance and cohesion of the design. Another good source of research in this area is [Clarke, Walker 2001], which analyzes reusability of aspects thru patterns.

The Task design pattern can be implemented with aspects. Instead of using the event listening infrastructure [Horstmann, Cornell 2002] the task should use an observer pattern implemented with aspects as prescribed in [Hannemann, Kiczales 2002]. This will reduce coupling in the system since event generators and event listeners don’t need to know about each other. On the other hand, the task will have to call a method inside its own run...
method every time it wants to generate a progress report. That method will be the joint point in the aspect monitoring the task. So, we could implement the Mediator as an aspect and reduce coupling.

6. Case Study

I have used and implemented the Task Pattern a number of times. This is a case study of using the Task Pattern for getting, saving and adding data to and from a web service. All the tasks use a StatusPanel [Horstmann, Cornell 2002] to show its status.

![UML class diagram of all the tasks used in Framework application](image)

Figure 8: UML class diagram of all the tasks used in Framework application

I used the Task Pattern for an application called Framework. Framework is 3-tier application to manage human resources information. (A good introductory resource for n-tier applications is [Edwards 1999].) The users can search for employees, add and update records, and also delete records. The application also has other reporting and querying features. In Framework every feature that needs to talk to the application server uses the Task Pattern. Each one of these tasks is linked to a particular Mediator with Commands. In this way the tasks can be monitored.
For example, in Figure 10 we can see how \texttt{PositionMediator} uses \texttt{GetPositionTask} when it wants to get new position information from the application server. So, when loadPosition in \texttt{PositionMediator} gets called, \texttt{PositionMediator} creates a new \texttt{TaskWorker} (as explained in Section 4) and then runs \texttt{GetPositionTask} in that \texttt{TaskWorker}. This prevents the user does from losing control of the application when the system is getting the data for a new position.

![Figure 9: Screen shot of framework](image)

Figure 9: Screen shot of framework

![Figure 10: UML class diagram of PositionMediator and its tasks](image)

Figure 10: UML class diagram of \texttt{PositionMediator} and its tasks
The same holds true when deleting a position or saving a position (see Figure 10). One important point to consider is that the tasks in this case are Command Holders [Cooper 2000]. A Command Holders, as its name implies. holds Commands and passes the Commands to the Mediator for execution at the correct time. For more information on Command Holders please see [Cooper 2000].

The same principle is applied to other functionality of Framework. Every time the application has to call the application server, I used the Task design pattern. Doing this gives Framework a consistent texture [Ran 2001], and once a developer understands how one feature is implemented, she will easily understand how all the other similar features are implemented. (A good resource for implementation guidelines for design patterns is [Beck, et al 1996].)

7. Conclusion

In this paper I discussed the Task design pattern. This pattern solves the problem of how to structure long tasks that require monitoring.

I explored the various flavors of the Task design pattern. I first started with a simple implementation of it, and then moved to an implementation with Commands. After that I showed a multithreaded implementation. Then I explored the possibility of implementing this pattern with aspects. I finished the paper with a case study from my work experience.
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